

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,441	04/22/2005	Benjamin N Peace	17638-004US1	7469
26161 7590 02/06/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022		LAIOS, MARIA J		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/509,441	PEACE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Maria J. Laios	1795				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		•.					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
'=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	•					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7-11 and 13-16</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5, 6, 12, 17,18,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	7) Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to.						
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers		·				
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Information					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	••				

10/509,441 Art Unit: 1795

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 9 November 2007. Claims 1, 5-8, 10, 16 and 17 have been amended. Claims 2-4 and 23-24 have been cancelled. Claims 1 and 5-21 are pending. Claims 5, 6, 12, 17, 18, 20, and 21 are finally rejected for the reasons below.

### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Misspelling of There is on page 2 line 15.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: In claim 8, the limitation recited as, "wherein each of the teeth has a profile allowing disengagement of the top member in a direction parallel to axes of the teeth".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 5, 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number:

10/509,441 Art Unit: 1795

- 6. Claim 5 is indefinite because claim 1 recites a top member and an engagement member and it is unclear whether applicant is referring to the same members in claim 5 or different members.
- 7. The term "substantial" in claim 6 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite.

  The term "substantial" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.
- 8. Claim 12 is indefinite because it is unclear if the recited sidewall is the same one applicant refers to in claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 10. Claims 20-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bisaka et al. (US 2002/0034673 A1).

With regard to claims 20 and 21 Bisaka et al discloses the method of forming a fuel cell stack comprising receiving a plurality of fuel cell plates (23) into a confinement volume of a carriage unit cradle (24,22B) the fuel cell plates (23) forming a stack (Figure 7), applying a carriage unit closure member (22A) to compress the fuel cell plates in a first direction (Figure 7, tightening force) orthogonal to a plane of the fuel cell plates and to engage the closure member with the

10/509,441 Art Unit: 1795

carriage unit cradle and automatically locking the closure member and the cradle when the closure member reach is a predefined degree of compression of the fuel cell plates and the tightening force (Figure 7) passing through a series of successive automatic locking engagement positions (29) between the closure member and the cradle until an appropriate degree of compression is reached (Abstract).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 12. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bisaka et al. (US 2002/0034673 A1). With regard to claim 17, Bisaka et al. discloses a fuel cell compression assembly comprising a carriage unit cradle (24,22B) for receiving a stack of fuel cell plates (23) that overlap and a closure member (22a). The cradle is capable of receiving fuel cell plates (figure 7); the closure member (22A) will mate and lock once pressure is applied to the engagement (29).

With regard to claim 18, Bisaka et al discloses bolts (25) will inhibit the movement of the closure member (22A).

### Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 1 and 7-11, 13-16 are allowed.

Application/Control Number:

10/509,441

Art Unit: 1795

- Claim 19 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 14. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Claims 5, 6 and 12 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the 15. rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- The closest prior art of record, Bisaka et al., does not teach or suggest, the distinguishing 16. features of the teeth as having an asymmetric profile allowing passage of the top member in one direction or interlocking teeth that provide a plurality of automatic locking portion at varying distances along the first direction.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09 November 2007 have been fully considered but they are 17. not persuasive.

### Applicant argues:

The Bisaka reference does not disclose "The closure member adapted to close a carriage unit and to apply pressure to the fuel cell plates via automatic locking engagement with the carriage cradle unit when the closure member is brought into position with the carriage unit." The examiner respectfully disagrees. Bisaka discloses a carriage unit cradle consisting of part 24. and 22B and a closure member (22a). The closure member closes the cradle as depicted in Figure 7. Also from Figure 7 the tightening force is applied in the direction of the closure member. Bisaka also disclose in paragraph 67, the teeth of the serration 29 prevent the slippage

Application/Control Number:

10/509,441

Art Unit: 1795

therefore this would indicate automatic locking engagement once the closure member 22b is brought into position with the carriage unit.

Applicant argues:

Claim 17 is not a product by process claim.

The Examiner misspoke that claim 17 is a product by process claim. However the rejection that was made still recites all the claimed limitations including the automatic locking engagement as discussed above.

#### Conclusion

18. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria J. Laios whose telephone number is 571-272-9808. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9:30 - 6:30.

Art Unit: 1795

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**MJL** 

Aurey Isany Late

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER